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Abstract

Blended [hybrid] learning is one of the approaches that is utilized to help students for meaningful learning via information and communication technologies in educational settings. In this study, Computer II Course which is taught in faculties of education was planned and implemented in the form of a blended learning environment. The data were collected from freshman students of departments of mathematics and primary school education via a semi-structured survey which included open and closed-ended questions at the end of the implementation. The students were taking the course for the first time, and they were introduced with a blended learning environment for the first time with this course. The survey was conducted online and 67 students completed the survey voluntarily, and the data were subjected to content analysis. According to the results, implementing the course especially with electronic activities had positive effects on students from a learning and evaluation perspective. Students stated that the blended learning environment supported their active participation to the course activities and indicated that following the content of the course, homework and projects online was interesting and useful.
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Existing information and communication technologies have been affecting and changing almost all fields. Developing technology offers many alternatives related with educational environments, methods and tools. There have been many researches, especially, on the issues of information access in higher education institutes, e-mail communication systems, forming virtual learning environments, the use and the dissemination of educational technolo-
The notions of “blending” and “mixing” of learning are interpreted differently by researchers according to aims of application (Mortera-Gutiérrez, 2006). The proportion of face to face and online learning technologies in the use of blended learning might be different (Osguthorpe & Graham, 2003; Singh, 2003). Blended learning is not restricted with the use of some strategies (discussion forums, mail, content presentation, etc.) only in online learning as a tool for supporting face to face learning (Usta, 2007). Therefore, blended learning, which should be considered as a teaching design approach, is a process that should be planned strategically to be applied in a teaching institution, a teaching program or in a course (Mortera-Gutierrez, 2006; Oblinger, 2006; Sharpe, Benfield, Roberts, & Francis, 2006). It is found that face to face and blended learning are not different in terms of attitude and success in a few research where the effect of various application formats of blended learning on student satisfaction, success, etc. are examined (Delialioğlu & Yıldırım, 2007; Pereira et al., 2007). Students who attended blended learning applications were reported to have positive attitudes towards e-learning rather than face to face learning and have higher grades in examinations (el-Deghaidy & Nouby, 2008). It is also indicated the interaction to help individual feedback and guidance in electronic environment (Voogt, Almeinders, Akker, & Moonen, 2005).

In another study, Learning Management System (LMS) and face to face learning method were used in the application of teaching facilities in four higher education courses, and perceptions of students towards the method were examined (Karan, Özen, Yıldırım, & Kaban, 2009). Uluöl and Karadeniz (2009) designed a course blended with project-based learning, face to face learning, online tools and performance evaluation methods in their study. The study revealed that students found learning course theory in classroom environment and the supportive accompaniment of course website in this learning process very helpful.

There have also been studies examining the effect of electronic communication and interaction technologies on learning environment and emphasizing the use of online tools and social communication tools that especially provide collaborative learning (Altun, Gülbahar, & Madran, 2008; Dağ, 2010; Finch, 2008; Lilje & Peat, 2007). There are different methods in planning and application stages of blended learning (Oliver & Trigwell, 2005). Valiathan (2002), who advises researchers with the aim of choosing the most appropriate method by evaluating diverse planning and application methods, remarked that planning of blended learning might be done with the aim development of skills, attitudes and decision-making skills in students. There is not one description for the application of blended learning (Oliver & Trigwell, 2005; Rossett, Dougis, & Frazee, 2003). Therefore, holistic comparative and systematic studies which suggest evidence with different methods from different perspectives about the value of blended learning are highly needed (Blüç, Goodyear, & Ellis, 2007).

**Purpose**

This study aims to concentrate on determining the perceptions of students towards Computing II course which was planned with the blending of face to face learning and e-learning methods.

**Method**

The study was based on the Qualitative research model. As it was also expressed in Yıldırım and Şimşek (2006), data of such studies are given as in detail and with direct quotations as possible in order to enable the validity and reliability of the results.

**Universe and Sampling**

Participants of the study are 67 freshmen students, both from regular and evening classes, who are enrolled in Mathematics Teaching and Primary Teaching in Kocaeli University, Faculty of Education.

**Instrument**

For data collection, a form with 7 open-ended questions was prepared and then was sent to students via the internet. Qualitative analysis of the data obtained from the interviews with students was done with content analysis method.

**Process**

In content analysis, similar data were unified around specific terms and themes and were arranged and interpreted as the readers can understand (Ural & Kılıç, 2006; Yıldırım & Şimşek, 2006). Coding of research data was done through QSR Nvivo 8.0 qualitative data analysis program. There were 89 codes after the coding process. Another researcher was asked to determine the codes and
themes according to the perceptions of the students in the texts and was asked to control the appropriateness of the codes and themes. Reliability calculation of qualitative data was done with Miles and Huberman (1994) formula.

Interrater reliability of the study (80%) was found to be reliable (Büyüköztürk, Çakmak, Akgün, Karadeniz, & Demirel, 2008). As a result of the study 87 of 89 codes were agreed, and a 0.97 consensus was achieved. As the perceptions of the students may be appropriate to more than one theme, total student numbers may differ in qualitative analysis.

Results

When the answers given to the question of “When compared with the other courses what are the different aspects that students find different in Computing II course?”, the most frequent view of the students is the view of “Computing II course made us participate actively (27).” The most frequent view in terms of “Evaluation” is “computer-based evaluation is effective (7).” The most frequent view in terms of “Learning” is “Our learning becomes permanent with applications in Computer II course.” 6 of the participants remarked that “There is no difference between Computing II course and the other courses.” Under this theme, there are two more views as “the ones who are low in computer literacy had difficulty (3)” and “application is tiresome (3).”

The answers given to the question of “What are the views of the students towards the roles and responsibilities in blended learning environments?” were categorized as “positive” and “negative”. “Assignment doing responsibility” and “participation to course” subthemes were generated under the theme of “Positive.” The most frequent view under “assignment doing responsibility” theme is the view of “asignments and projects increased our learning responsibility (30).” “We grasped the need of coming class prepared (8)” and “Doing assignments made our active participation to the course (7)” views have high frequencies.

In the views of the students who express negative views on students roles and responsibilities, the views of “Project design took time (5)” and “Roles and responsibilities in the course were much and tiresome (6)” are outstanding.

As for the question of “What are the expectations of the students from Computing II course?”, 41 students remarked that “It met my expectations.” However, under “negative” theme, the views of “I had no expectations (6)” and “the content of the course seemed difficult and complex” are remarkable.

As for the question of “Did the blending of Computer II course with face to face and electronic learning materials contribute to the students?” 25 of the students remarked that they learned more effectively. According to the factors that can affect students’ motivation, and the level of favour related to Computing II course, “Easy access to learning materials in electronic environment (85.1%)” was found to be the most effective factor. According to the students’ level of favour to the activities presented in electronic environment for Computing II course, the most popular activities are, in order, “Weekly presentation of learning materials (83.6%), “The possibility of following course activities with the mails coming from LMS (82.1%).”

The views of the students towards the features of LMS were classified under the themes of positive and negative. The most frequent view in positive theme is “All features of LMS are very useful (34).” The views of “Weekly online presentation of the content is good (24)” and “Direct access to course related materials is very useful (24)” rank the second.

Under the negative theme on LMS “I didn't like the content (7),” “We can't use it when we don't have internet (4),” “Weekly presentations are hard to examine (4),” “High expectation of teacher is boring (4),” “Forum is not effective (3),” “There is so much material on LMS, and I can't find what I need (3)” can be seen.

Students generally commented that they like the LMS and especially presenting the course content online weekly, producing something and learning by doing contributed them much. The view of accessing learning materials anytime is useful is in line with the views in Cooner’s study (2010).

Discussion

This study aimed to concentrate on the views of the students towards Computing II course that was planned and applied with blending of face to face and e-learning methods. When it was compared with other courses, students remarked that the application and activities of the course in terms of evaluation and learning, especially LMS applications, have positive effects on them. Similar findings have also been encountered in Uluyol and Karadeniz (2009). Students who took Computing II course commented that they found computer-based evaluation and inclusion of the grades they had taken to average score was different than other courses.
They also added that the applications they did on computer made their learning more permanent. Most of the students expressed that blending of this course with face to face learning and the materials presented in electronic environment contributed them much. The findings in Usta and Mahiroğlu’s (2008) study are in accordance with this study’s. Motteram (2006) examined program design experiences of students in a teaching knowledge course based on blended learning which lasted three years. It was found that blended learning approach has positive effects on learning experiences of students.

LMS, which is used in Computer II, enables an important environment for students in terms of having necessary information about the situation of the course and friends regardless of time and place, following the course content online and exchanging ideas and opinions between teacher-student and student-student. It also helps students to express themselves better. These findings display similarity with the ones in Deperlioglu and Kose (2010).

Students commented that assignments and project studies in blended learning environments increased their learning responsibilities. According to the views of the students on roles and responsibilities in this course, students commented that this situation raised their consciousness in taking more responsibility in their learning. Findings accord with the ones in Delialioğlu and Yıldırım’s (2007) study. The results of the study revealed that the students could control their own learning and arrangement skills in blended learning environment. In another study by Chung and Davis (1995), it was found that blended learning environments helped learners in taking their own learning responsibilities such as time management, choosing material, etc. Similarly, it was remarked that designing blended learning environments with various learning activities has positive effects on the development of the students (Karaman et al., 2009).

The most popular activities rated by students in Computer II course are weekly presentation of learning materials, the possibility of following course facilities with the mails coming from LMS, learning the content of the assignment and submitting it online.

From a holistic perspective, students expressed that they found LMS as useful. Further research is needed to compare blended learning environments in diverse courses from the perspective of student success, and it is believed that carrying out studies which take individual differences and interaction types as the independent variable would be fruitful.
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